Stavros Papadopoulos, Yin Yang, Dimitris Papadias

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

CADS: Continuous Authentication on Data Streams

VLDB 2007, Vienna

Database Outsourcing

Definition:

 A data owner (DO) delegates its database functionality to a third party service provider (SP)

Motivation:

- Some companies may not have the sufficient resources for running a full-scale DBMS to administrate their data
- The SP achieves economies of scale by serving multiple DOs
- The network latency is reduced, since the SPs are located closer to user clusters
- The system robustness is improved, because the SP ceases to be the single point of failure

Challenge:

Since the SP is not the real owner of the data, it must prove to the clients (i) that the returned results are unaltered (*soundness*) and (ii) that no record that satisfies a query is missing (*completeness*)

The Database Outsourcing Model



Cryptographic Primitives

- One-way, collision-resistant hash function
 - It is intractable to derive M from h(M)
 - It is intractable to find M_1 and M_2 , such that $h(M_1) = h(M_2)$
 - We use SHA1, which produces a 20-byte digest
- <u>RSA Public Key Cryptosystem</u>
 - Private key: a
 - Public key: (*b*, *c*)
 - To sign *M*, the signer computes $sig = sign(M, a, c) = h(M)^a \mod c$
 - To verify that *M* was signed by the proper signer, the verifier computes verify(*M*, sig, b, c) = sig^b mod c and checks if it matches h(M)

The Merkle Hash Tree (MH-Tree) (Merkle, CRYPTO 1989)



The Merkle Hash Tree (MH-Tree) (Merkle, CRYPTO 1989)

<u>Query result:</u> r_3

<u>VO:</u> h_{12} , r_3 , h_4 ,, sig



6

Streaming Environments

- Record updates are constantly being introduced to the system
- We adopt the *positive-negative model*, which is more general, but our methods apply to the *sliding window model* as well
- The users issue continuous range queries and they expect to receive feedback whenever their results change

Example Application:

- The SP receives current values from one or more stock exchanges
- Subscribers register long-running queries at the SP
- Whenever a stock update influences a query, the corresponding client is immediately informed

Streaming Environments

Challenges:

- Need for soundness and completeness
- The system must accommodate very fast updates and support efficient query processing
- It must include effective mechanisms for minimizing the communication overhead and the verification cost
- Need for temporal completeness:
 - The SP must guarantee that all clients receive all the updates that are relevant to their queries

A Reference Solution - REF

 $sig = sign(h(e_1.h | e_2.h | LT | ST))$



A Reference Solution - REF

Query result: r_5 , r_6 , r_7 , r_8

<u>VO:</u> $e_3.h$, r_4 , r_5 , r_6 , r_7 , r_8 , r_9 , r_{10} , $e_7.h$, sig, LT, ST



A Reference Solution - REF

Query Processing:

- The SP sends a new VO, the signature, LT and ST to every client for every update it receives
- Soundness and completeness are proven as in the MB-Tree
- Timestamps ST and LT ensure temporal completeness

Example:

- $-\tau = 1$: client *C* obtains a result
- τ = 2: the SP receives a new record r_1 , but it does not inform C
- τ = 3: r_1 is deleted and a new record r_2 becomes part of the result. The SP sends a new VO to C along with the signature, LT=3 and ST=2.

Main drawback:

False transmissions

CADS – Indexing scheme



CADS – Initial Computation



CADS – Monitoring Module

Key points:

- The SP sends a new VO only to the clients whose queries overlap with the partitions where the updates have occurred
- We also employ a *Virtual Caching Mechanism* (*VCM*).
 - It prevents the SP from sending VO components (hashes/records) that already exist in the client's cache
 - The term *virtual* means that the SP does not maintain any VO for any query

CADS – Monitoring Module

 $\tau = 1$ *cachedVO*: [*H*₅, [*begin_TMH*, *N*₃.*ST*, [*h*₁, [*r*₄, *r*₅], *dummy*], *end_TMH*, *begin_TMH*, *N*₄.*ST*, [[*r*₆, *r*₇, *r*₈],[*r*₉, *r*₁₀], *h*'₃], *end_TMH*]]

 $\tau = 3$

newVO: [*H*₅, [*Hit*, *begin_TMH*, *N*₄.*ST*, [*dummy*, [*r*₉, *r*₁₀], *Hit*], *end_TMH*]]



VLDB 2007, Vienna

Setting:

- Two datasets (UNI and SKD)
- Record size: 100 bytes
- Each experiment is a simulation of 100 timestamps
- Continuous queries are uniformly distributed having 0.1% selectivity
- *m* is set to 8192 after a fine tuning step
- Parameters:
 - Data Cardinality (DC): 10K, 50K, 100K, 200K, 500K
 - Query Cardinality (QC): 100K, 500K, 1K, 2K, 5K
 - Arrival rate (AR): 10, 50, **100**, 200, 500

Query processing time vs. DC



VO size vs. DC



Verification time vs. DC



Index size vs. DC



Query processing time vs. AR



VO size vs. AR



Future Work

- Extend CADS to solve the spatial version of the problem
- Find the partitioning granularity that optimizes the performance of CADS
- Handle the case where there are multiple empty partitions. One solution is to attribute *imbalance* to the DPM-Tree, which can reduce the size of the tree and, thus, the query processing time and the VO size.

Questions

•