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## Conventional Skylines

- $n$-dimensional numeric space $D=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$
- Large values are preferable
- Two points, $u$ dominates $v(u \succ v)$, if
- $\forall D_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n), u \cdot D_{i} \geq v \cdot D_{i}$

○ $\exists D_{j}(1 \leq j \leq n), u \cdot D_{j}>v \cdot D_{j}$

- Given a set of points $S$, skyline $=\{u \mid u \in S$ and $u$ is not dominated by any other point $\}$
- Example
- $C \succ B, C \succ D$
- skyline $=\{A, C, E\}$


[^0]
## Related Work - Skyline

$\square$

- Skyline computation:
- Non-index: BNL [ICDE'01], DC [ICDE'01], SFS [ICDE'o3], LESS [VLDB'05], ...
- Index: Bitmap [VLDB'o1], Index [VLDB'o1], NN [VLDB'o2], BBS [SIGMOD'03], ...
- Skyline variants:
- Skyline cubes [VLDB'05, SIGMOD'06, ICDE'07]
- Subspace skyline: SUBSKY [ICDE'o6]

○ ...

## Skylines on Uncertain Data

- Consider game-by-game statistics
- Conventional methods compute the skyline on
- Separate game records
- Aggregate: mean or median
- Limitations
- Biased by outliers
- Lose data distributions
- Probabilistic skylines
- An instance has a probability to represent the object

- An object has a probability to be in the skyline

[^1]339,721 game records of 1,313 players in 3d-space: (points, assists, rebounds) red color : the conventional skyline computed on the aggregate statistics

| Player <br> Name | Skyline Probability | Player Name | Skyline Probability | Player Name | Skyline Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LeBron James | 0.350699 | Dwyane Wade | 0.199065 | Steve Francis | 0.131061 |
| Dennis Rodman | 0.327592 | Tracy Mcgrady | 0.198185 | Dirk Nowitzki | 0.130301 |
| Shaquille O'Neal | 0.323401 | Grant Hill | 0.191164 | Paul Pierce | 0.127079 |
| Charles Barkley | 0.309311 | John Stockton | 0.183591 | Gary Payton | 0.126328 |
| Kevin Garnett | 0.302531 | David Robinson | 0.177437 | Baron Davis | 0.125298 |
| Jason Kidd | 0.293569 | Stephon Marbury | 0.16683 | Vince Carter | 0.122946 |
| Allen Iverson | 0.269871 | Tim Hardaway | 0.166206 | Antoine Walker | 0.121745 |
| Michael Jordan | 0.250633 | Magic Johnson | 0.151813 | Steve Nash | 0.115874 |
| Tim Duncan | 0.241252 | Chris Paul | 0.149264 | Andre Miller | 0.11275 |
| Karl Malone | 0.239737 | Gilbert Arenas | 0.142883 | Isiah Thomas | 0.11076 |
| Chris Webber | 0.22153 | Clyde Drexler | 0.138993 | Elton Brand | 0.10966 |
| Kevin Johnson | 0.208991 | Patrick Ewing | 0.13577 | Scottie Pippen | 0.108941 |
| Hakeem Olajuwon | 0.203641 | Rod Strickland | 0.135735 | Dominique Wilkins | 0.104323 |
| Kobe Bryant | 0.200272 | Brad Daugherty | 0.133572 | Lamar Odom | 0.101803 |

Brand-Agg (20.39, 2.67, 10.37)
Ewing-Agg (19.48, 1.71, 9.91)
Ewing $\times$
Ewing Agg
Brand $\times$
Brand-Agg
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## Related Work - Uncertain Data

- Uncertain Data
- Survey [PODS’o7]
- Probabilistic range query [VLDB'04]
- U-Tree [VLDB'05]
- Probabilistic similarity join [DASFAA'o6]
- ...
- An uncertain object is represented as
- Continuous case: a probabilistic density function (PDF)
- Discrete case: a set of instances, each takes a probability to appear
${ }^{\star} U=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}, 0<p\left(u_{i}\right) \leq 1$ and $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p\left(u_{i}\right)=1$
$\times$ Without loss of generality, assume equal probability, $p\left(u_{i}\right)=1 /|U|$
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## Probabilistic Skyline Model



- Example
- A set of object $S=\{A, B, C\}$
- Each instance takes equal probability (0.5) to appear
- Probabilistic Dominance
- $\operatorname{Pr}(A>C)=3 / 4$
- $\operatorname{Pr}(B \succ C)=1 / 2$

- $\operatorname{Pr}((A \succ C) \vee(B \succ C))=1$
$\circ \operatorname{Pr}(C$ is in the skyline $) \neq(1-\operatorname{Pr}(A \succ C)) \times(1-\operatorname{Pr}(B \succ C))$
- Probabilistic dominance $\Rightarrow$ Probabilistic skyline


## Skyline Probability

(9)

- Possible world: $W=\left\{a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}\right\} \quad(i, j, k=1$ or 2)
- $\operatorname{Pr}(W)=0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5=0.125$
- $\sum_{W \in \Omega} \operatorname{Pr}(W)=1$
- $\operatorname{SKY}\left(\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right\}\right)=\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$
$\circ A$ and $B$ are in $\operatorname{SKY}\left(\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right\}\right)$
$\circ B$ is in the skyline of $\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right\}$, $\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{2}\right\},\left\{a_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}\right\}$, and $\left\{a_{1}, b_{2}, c_{2}\right\}$
- $\operatorname{Pr}(B)=4 \times 0.125=0.5$

- $\operatorname{Pr}(A)=1, \operatorname{Pr}(C)=0$


## Problem Statement

- Skyline probability: $\operatorname{Pr}(U)=\sum_{U \in S K Y(W)} \operatorname{Pr}(W)$
- For object: $\operatorname{Pr}(U)=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \prod_{V \neq U}\left(1-\frac{|\{v \in V \mid v \phi U\}|}{|V|}\right)$
- For instance: $\operatorname{Pr}(u)=\prod_{V \neq U}\left(1-\frac{|\{v \in V \mid v \phi u\}|}{|V|}\right)$
- $\operatorname{Pr}(U)=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \operatorname{Pr}(u)$
- $p$-skyline $=\{U \mid \operatorname{Pr}(U) \geq p\}$ for a given threshold $p$


## Probabilistic Skyline Computation

- Iteration: Bounding-Pruning-Refining
- Bounding
- Bound $\operatorname{Pr}(u)$ : lower bound $\operatorname{Pr}^{-}(u)$ and upper bound $\operatorname{Pr}^{+}(u)$
- Bound $\operatorname{Pr}(U): \operatorname{Pr}(U)=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \operatorname{Pr}(u)$
- Pruning
- In $p$-skyline if lower bound $\operatorname{Pr}^{-}(U) \geq p$
- Not in $p$-skyline if upper bound $\operatorname{Pr}^{+}(U)<p$
- Refining
- Bottom-up method
- Top-down method


## Bottom-Up Method

- Key idea: sort the instances of an object according to dominance relation, s.t., their skyline probabilities are in descending order
- Dominance $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ partial order of skyline probabilities
- Lemma
- Two instances $u_{1}$ and $u_{2} \in U$, if $u_{1} \succ u_{2}$, then $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)$



## Layer Structure

- layer-1 is the skyline of all instances
- layer- $k(k>1)$ is the skyline of instances except those at layer-1, ..., layer-( $k-1$ )
- $\forall u$ at layer-k,
$\exists$ u'at layer-( $k-1$ ),
s.t., $u^{\prime} \succ u$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}(u)$
- $\max \{\operatorname{Pr}(u) \mid u$ is at layer $-(k-1)\}$ $\geq \max \{\operatorname{Pr}(u) \mid u$ is at layer- $k\}$

layer-3


## Bounding with Layer Structures

- $\max \left\{\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right), \operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)\right\}$ $\geq \max \left\{\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{3}\right), \operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{4}\right)\right\}$ $\geq \operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{5}\right)$
- Order: $u_{1} \rightarrow u_{2} \rightarrow u_{3} \rightarrow u_{4} \rightarrow u_{5}$


|  | $u_{1}$ | $u_{2}$ | $u_{3}$ | $u_{4}$ | $u_{5}$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lower bound | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)$ | o | o | o | $\left(\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)\right) / 5$ |
| upper bound | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $\left(4 \operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)\right) / 5$ |

- Compute $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{i}\right)$
- Build an R-tree for the instances of each object, traverse R-trees
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## Top-Down Method

## - Lemma

- Two instances $u_{1}$ and $u_{2} \in U$, if $u_{1} \succ u_{2}$, then $\operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left(u_{2}\right)$
- $N$ is a subset of instances of $U$, $\forall u \in N, \operatorname{Pr}\left(N_{\max }\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}(u) \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left(N_{\min }\right)$

- Object $U$ has $l$ partitions $N 1, \ldots, N_{l}$, $\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|N_{i}\right| \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(N_{i, \max }\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}(U) \geq \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|N_{i}\right| \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(N_{i, \text { min }}\right)$
- Build a partition tree for each object to organize partitions


## Partition Tree

- Binary tree

- Growing one level of the tree in each iteration
- Choose one dimension in a round-robin fashion
- Each leaf node is partitioned into two children nodes, each of which has half of instances
- Bound $\operatorname{Pr}\left(N_{\max }\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(N_{\min }\right)$ of a partition $N$


## Bounding with Partition Trees


$\circ$ Case 1: $V_{2, \min } \succ U_{1, \max }$, all instances in $V_{2}$ dominate $U_{1, \max }$ and $U_{1, \min }$

- Case 2: $V_{1, \max } \not * U_{2, \min }$, no instance in $V_{1}$ dominates $U_{2, \max }$ or $U_{2, \min }$
- Case 3: $V_{1}$ and $U_{1}$ are not in Case 1 or 2, do estimation
* No instance in $V_{1}$ dominate $U_{1, \max }$ - upper bound of $\operatorname{Pr}\left(U_{1, \max }\right)$
${ }^{*}$ All instances in $V_{1}$ dominate $U_{1, \text { min }}$ - lower bound of $\operatorname{Pr}\left(U_{1, \text { min }}\right)$
- $\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|U_{i}\right| \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(U_{i, \text { max }}\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}(U) \geq \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|U_{i}\right| \cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(U_{i, \text { min }}\right)$
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## Experiment Settings

- NBA data set
- 339,721 game records of 1,313 players from 1991 to 2005 259 records per player on average
- 3 attributes: number of points, assists, rebounds
- Synthetic data sets
- Distributions: anti-correlated, independent, correlated
- Dimensionality: $2 \sim 10$
- Cardinality: 2,000 ~ 20,000
- Average number of instances per object: 200
- Algorithms
- Bottom-up algorithm and Top-down algorithm
- Exhausted algorithm


## Overall Performance

- 4d-space 10,000 objects with average 200 instances each


The 33rd International Conference on Very Large Data Bases (VLDB), Vienna, Austria, September 23-28 2007

## Comparison of Two Algorithms



- Threshold $p=0.3$




## Conclusions

- Probabilistic skyline model
- An object takes a probability to be in the skyline
- Two algorithms
- Bottom-up
- Top-down
- Experiments
- Future Work
- Continuous case
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